Welfare regimes and differences in the mental well-being of European employees with respect to gender and social class

Deborah De Moortel, Carme Borrell, Laia Palència, Lucia Artazcoz and Christophe Vanroelen



BACKGROUND

 Lately, the mental well-being of the working population has received considerable attention in public health research

 Less is known about the social distribution of mental well-being across different groups of employees



BACKGROUND

- Changes in the nature of work
- More jobs defined by emotional and psychological demands
- Socio-economic inequalities in employee mental health?
 - The associations with conventional socioeconomic status measures (such as years of education or income) are weak
- We use an intersectional approach to examine the social distribution of mental well-being across employees
 - We consider gender norms within social class positions



BACKGROUND: The intersection of social class and gender and its effect on mental well-being

Social class

- Useful concept of "neo-Marxian" social class
 - Does not necessary assume a linear or gradational associations with health
 - Relates health to the structural relations of dominance and subordination in the labour process
 - Understanding of the way in which one's position in the labour process affects health



BACKGROUND: Wright's social class scheme

Wage laborers										
4 Managers	7 Managers	10 Managers	+							
experts	semi-skilled	"unskilled"	Organization							
5 Supervisors experts	8 Supervisors	11 Supervisors	assets							
	semi-skilled	"unskilled"	0							
6 Workers	9 Workers	12 Workers	_							
skilled	semi-skilled	"unskilled"								
+	0	–	s							
Po	ssession of skill o	or credential asset								

Source: Muntaner et al. (2011)



BACKGROUND: The intersection of social class and gender and its effect on mental well-being

Gender

 Being female has a negative effect on well-being compared to being male

Intersection of social class and gender

- We allow the well-being effect of a social class to be different across genders
- For instance, the well-being implications of being an unskilled worker may be different for female compared to male unskilled workers.



BACKGROUND: Social processes and policies explaining social inequalities in mental well-being

- Social processes that create the context for increased risk of poor health in some groups
 - Job quality
 - Unskilled workers are exposed to more hazardous working conditions than other social classes
 - High psychological demands, low autonomy at work and absence of skill discretion are concentrated in non-managerial class positions
 - Household responsibilities
 - Material well-being at home and amount of household labour have also shown to partly explain the association between social class and poor health
 - ONLY AMONG FEMALE EMPLOYEES!



BACKGROUND: Social processes and policies explaining social inequalities in mental well-being

- Policies also influence social inequality in employee mental well-being
 - Korpi's (2010) institutional typology of welfare states
 - Clarifies health inequalities with respect to gender AND class



BACKGROUND: Korpi's (2010) institutional typology of welfare states

Combination of :

Family Policy models:

- 1. Earner-carer
 - Nordic countries
- 2. Traditional family
 - Continental Europe
- 3. Market-oriented
 - Anglo-Saxon countries

Social insurance models:

- 1. Encompassing
 - Nordic countries
- 2. State corporatist
 - Continental Europe
- 3. Basic security
 - Anglo-Saxon countries
- The Southern and post-communist countries are also increasingly analysed as separate welfare state regimes



OBJECTIVES

- 1. To analyse the association of mental well-being with the intersection of gender and class in European employees
- To examine the role of job quality and household responsibilities as potential mediating factors in explaining this association
- 3. To analyse whether patterns differ by institutional models relevant for gender as well as class inequalities (in the form of welfare regimes)



METHODS: Data & Variables

- ESS 2004/5 & ESS 2010 (15,266 male and 14,817 female employees)
- 19 European countries
 - Belgium, France, Switzerland, Czech Republic, Denmark,
 Germany, Spain, Finland, Norway, Greece, Ireland, Netherlands,
 Poland, Slovakia, Hungary, Estonia, Slovenia, Sweden and United
 Kingdom
- Dependent variable: Good mental well-being (yes/no)
- Independent variables:
 - Social Class position
 - Household responsibility
 - Job quality
 - Country groups



- Social class position:
 - International Standard Classification of Occupations (ISCO)
 - International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED)
 - A question on whether the employee is responsible for supervising other employees
 - Managers (those who worked as a manager and supervise other employees)
 - Supervisors (non-managers who supervise other employees)
 - Workers (all others)
 - Within these three categories, another subdivision was made using ISCED: "unskilled" (up to lower secondary); "semi-skilled" (up to post-secondary non-tertiary); and "experts" (completed tertiary education).



- Household responsibilities:
 - Household structure
 - Based on "children at home" & "partner"
 - Categories:
 - Partner, with children
 - Partner, without children
 - No Partner, with(out) children
 - Economic responsibility
 - Based on "main provider" & "persons at household"
 - Categories
 - Head of household (main provider & other at home)
 - Not head of household (not main provider & others at home)
 - Single-person household

- Job quality:
 - Intrinsic characteristics
 - High skill discretion
 - High autonomy
 - Low psychological demands
 - Employment quality
 - Type of contract, full-time vs. part-time, social/regular work hours, training opportunities, union member, high support



- Country groups:
 - (1) basic security/market oriented (Ireland, Switzerland and UK);
 - (2) encompassing/earner-carer (Denmark, Finland, Norway and Sweden);
 - (3) state corporatist/family support (Austria, Belgium, France, Germany and the Netherlands);
 - (4) Eastern European (Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia) and;
 - (5) Southern European (Greece and Spain).



- Background characteristics:
 - Age
 - -Lift-off (16-29 years)
 - A mid-career period (30-49 years)
 - -The end-of career period (50-65 years)
 - Year dummy



METHODS: Statistical analyses

- 1. Description of the population
- 2. Robust Poisson regression models
 - 1. Model 1: crude estimates for each variable separately
 - Model 2: Age, year dummy, social class, household responsibilities
 - 3. Model 3: Model 2 + job quality

PR and 95% confidence intervals

Reference categories for the PR were unskilled workers

All analyses included weights and were stratified by gender and welfare state



RESULTS

- General characteristics of the sample:
 - Encompassing/earner-carer: Highest % of Good MWB
 - Eastern male and basic security/market oriented female: Lowest % of Good MWB
 - Basic security/market oriented: Large gender difference in % MWB
 - State corporatist/family support: Small gender difference in % MWB
 - Europe: around 50% are un/semi-skilled workers
 » More common in women



RESULTS: General characteristics of sample

- Household responsibilities:
 - Men are more likely head of household
 - Women are more likely not head of household
 - Encompassing/earner-carer: more single-person households
 - Men were more likely to live with a partner and children in the household
- Job quality:
 - Eastern/Southern: high % of `no contract'
 - Encompassing/earner-carer & state corporatist/family support : high % of `permanent contract'



RESULTS: Prevalence ratio's of Good MWB

ctate corneration/

Prevalence ratio's (controlled for age and ESS round) of good MWB stratified by country group and sex. Employee population, 15–65 years old. ESS 2004/5 and 2010

Eactorn

hacic

	family support		basic security/market oriented		Eastern		Soutnern		encompassing/ earner-carer	
	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
Social Class										
Unskilled workers	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
Semi-skilled workers	1.04	1.07	1.03	1.12	1.11	1.30*	1.02	1.02	1.02	0.97
Expert workers	1.07	1.10*	1.03	1.17*	1.15	1.37*	1.04	1.04	1.00	0.99
Non-expert superv.	1.07	1.20*	1.06	1.12	1.19*	1.32*	1.01	1.00	1.05*	1.04
Expert supervisors	1.05	1.18*	1.06	1.08	1.18	1.29*	1.09*	1.10	1.05	1.00
Non-expert managers	1.13*	1.07	1.09	1.10	1.17	1.32*	1.16*	1.11	1.00	0.93
Expert managers	1.11	1.20*	1.07	1.04	1.25*	1.43*	1.16*	1.01	0.99	1.05

^{*} Significant at p ≤ 0.05



oncompacing/

Southorn

RESULTS: Models controlled for household responsibilities and job quality

- Class differences in male employees:
 - Controlled for household responsibilities:
 - The association between social class and good MWB disappeared in state corporatist/family support and encompassing/earner-carer
 - Controlled for job quality:
 - Influences associations in Eastern countries, state corporatist/family support and encompassing/earner-carer
- Class differences in female employees:
 - Controlled for household responsibilities:
 almost all significant associations remained significant
 - Controlled for job quality:
 - less class differences in encompassing/earner-carer, state corporatist/family support, basic security/market oriented and Eastern countries



DISCUSSION: Main findings

- 1. In all welfare regimes, social class differences in employee mental well-being are seen
- 2. Male employees from basic security/market oriented and female employees from encompassing/earner-carer and Southern European welfare regimes do not experience social class differences in mental well-being
- 3. The household responsibilities affect the relation between social class and mental well-being of male employees in encompassing/earner-care and state corporatist/family support
- 4. The job quality is able to influence the association between social class and mental well-being in all welfare regimes, but the Southern European welfare regimes



DISCUSSION: Inequalities in MWB by welfare regime

- Social class inequalities in male MWB in encompassing/earner-carer
 - Contributes to a growing body of literature reporting that health inequalities are not smaller in Scandinavian countries
 - Universal health message is beneficial for all, but more for (male) middle classes
- No social class inequalities in Southern female MWB
 - Countries with higher proportion of unpaid family care by women have smaller health inequalities
 - High educated women at work
 - in our study: 40% expert worker, 25% between 16-29 years old and 40% has no partner



DISCUSSION: Inequalities in MWB by welfare regime

- No social class inequalities in male MWB from basic security/market oriented
 - Basic security social program
 - No support of the mother-role leads to social inequalities in female employees



DISCUSSION: Household responsibilities and job quality as mediators

- Social class inequalities in male mental well-being of encompassing/earner-carer and state corporatist/family support reduced when controlling for the indicators of household responsibilities
 - Contradicts previous research
 - Due to choice of indicators (household responsibilities vs. household composition)
- Job quality is able to influence the association between social class and mental well-being in all welfare regimes, but the Southern European welfare regimes.
 - We did not measure relevant workplace variables of the Southern welfare state regime



DISCUSSION: Limitations and strengths

• Limitations:

- Indicator children at home does not reflect OWN children
 - Possible brothers or sisters
 - Therefore combined with partner at home
 - When partner at home, children at home are most likely their own
- Recession of 2008
 - Between-year within country variance in MWB is very small!
- Strength:
 - Focus on gender and social class simultaneously
 - Intersectionality approach
 - Inclusion of welfare regimes



CONCLUSIONS

- Importance of welfare regimes to explain social health inequalities
- Importance of simultaneously investigating social class and gender
- Social class and gender inequalities in mental wellbeing were least pronounced in countries who support the "earner-carer model" in combination with an encompassing social insurance model



Thank you for your attention!

